Kurpius, Meredith

From: Meredith Kurpius [Kurpius.Meredith@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, August 26, 2013 12:24 PM

To: Kurpius, Meredith

Subject: Fw: help with network plan cheat sheet

^^^^^^

Meredith Kurpius, PhD Air Quality Analysis Office Air Division, US EPA 75 Hawthorne St., AIR-7 San Francisco,CA 94105 415-947-4534 (p) 415-947-3579 (f)

---- Forwarded by Meredith Kurpius/R9/USEPA/US on 08/26/2013 12:24 PM -----

From: Matthew Lakin/R9/USEPA/US To: Andrew Steckel/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Cc: Meredith Kurpius/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 10/03/2012 09:52 AM

Subject: Fw: help with network plan cheat sheet

Andy, per our brief conversation yesterday, is this the type of task we could get Bob's help with in the short term? I also have identified the need for Tribal TSA support in the long term, which could potential use help from Bob, or possibly my own SEE.

Thanks, Matt

Matthew Lakin, Ph.D.
Manager, Air Quality Analysis Office
US EPA, Region 9 (AIR-7) | 75 Hawthorne St. | San Francisco, CA 94105
P: 415.972.3851 | E: Lakin.Matthew@epa.gov

---- Forwarded by Matthew Lakin/R9/USEPA/US on 10/03/2012 09:51 AM -----

From: Meredith Kurpius/R9/USEPA/US To: Matthew Lakin/R9/USEPA/US@EPA,

Date: 10/03/2012 08:09 AM

Subject: help with network plan cheat sheet

Matt,

To facilitate review of our annual network plans (ANP) this year I have been working on creating a cheat sheet. Below is an example of part of the cheat sheet. The first three columns are the ones I need help with. The first column is the requirement that needs to be checked in ANP. The second column is the location of the requirement in the CFR. The third column is a description (or actual text) of the requirement. Here is the need: (1) check the CFR citation (second column) and (2) fill in the third column (regulation description). The example below has some of the regulation description filled out to illustrate what type of information we need added. I would welcome any help in accomplishing this task within the next week or two.

-Meredith

Requirement CFR Citation Regulation description

1. Manual PM10 method co-location met? (note: continuous PM10 does not have this requirement)	App. A 3.3.1	15% for each "network of manual PM10 methods	Add/correct in following year's plan and withhold approval of that portion.	If colocation does not meet requirement then withhold approval of that portion.	Must => WOE
2. Pb co-location met?	App. A 3.3.4.3	1st collocated site must be at highest site in the network (unless RA grants a waiver). Collo samples at minimum 1:12. a) If have more than just Pb at NCore, must collocate at 15% of sites (at least one). b) If network only has Pb at NCore, part of national collocation network. Collocated monitors must be same method as primary.	Add/correct in following year's plan and withhold approval of that portion.	If colocation does not meet requirement then withhold approval of that portion.	Must => WOE
3. PM10-2.5 colocation met? (note: only applies to Fresno and Phoenix NCore sites)	App. A 3.3.6		Add/correct in following year's plan.	N/A – HQ req.	Must => WOE
4. PM2.5 PEP audits	App. A (3.2.7)		Check EPA records.	EPA adjusts schedule	EPA req.
5. Pb PEP audits	App. A (3.3.4.4)		Check EPA records.	EPA adjusts schedule	EPA req.

6. NPAP audit	App. A	Check EPA	EPA	EPA
	(2.4)	records.	adjusts	req.
			schedule	
7.	App. C	Add/correct	N/A – req.	must
Instrument/monitoring		in	met if	
method code for each		following	correct info	
monitor: is it reported		year's plan	submitted	
properly? Is it		and		
reported correctly		withhold		
(i.e., appropriate		approval of		
method code for		that		
regulatory monitors)?		portion.		

^^^^^

Meredith Kurpius, PhD Air Quality Analysis Office Air Division, US EPA 75 Hawthorne St., AIR-7 San Francisco, CA 94105 415-947-4534 (p) 415-947-3579 (f)